Bayesian filtering of state-space models with unknown covariance matrices

Tomáš Vlk FIT ČVUT v Praze 9.12.2021

Overview

- Introduction into filtering
- Proposed approach on single node
 - Results
- Introduction into distributed settings
- Proposed approach for distributed setting
 - Results
- Further resources

Introduction

- Bayesian approach is quite simillar to the way humans learn
 - Having some form prior knowleadge
 - Discovering some new information
 - Intercorporating the new knowleadge into what we have already known

Current knowleadge & new information \rightarrow update knowleadge

State-space models

• General state-space model

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t + \omega_t & x_t \sim \mathcal{N}(A_t x_{t-1} + B_t u_t, Q_t) \\ y_{i,t} &= H_t x_t + \epsilon_{i,t} & y_{i,t} \sim \mathcal{N}(H_t x_t, R_t) \end{aligned}$$

• Example can be a constant velocity model (CVM)

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \Delta k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \Delta k \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} [pos_{x,k-1} \quad pos_{y,k-1} \quad vel_{x,k-1} \quad vel_{y,k-1}] + w_k \\ y_k^{(i)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} [pos_{x,k} \quad pos_{y,k} \quad vel_{x,k} \quad vel_{y,k}] + v_k^{(i)} \end{aligned}$$

Filtration: estimation of state by Kalman filter

- Starting with prior distribution $\mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{i,t-1}^+, P_{i,t-1}^+)$
- Transform it during prediction $\mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{i,t}^{-}, P_{i,t}^{-}) = \mathcal{N}(A_t \hat{x}_{i,t}^{-}, A_t P_{i,t}^{-} A_t^{T} + Q_t)$
- Update by the Bayes' theorem $\mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{i,t}^+, P_{i,t}^+)$
- Problem is the need-to-know covariances $R_t = Q_t$ in both steps



Variational inference

- Unknown $\theta_t = [x_t, P_t, R_t]$
- Bayes' theorem does not lead to analytically tractable posterior distribution
- Approximation using $\rho_i(\theta_t) \equiv \rho_i(x_t)\rho_i(P_t)\rho_i(R_t)$
- Using variational inference to minimize divergence

$$\mathcal{D}[\rho_{i}(\theta_{t})||\pi_{i}(\theta_{t}|\Delta_{i,t})] = \mathbb{E}_{\rho_{i}(\theta_{t})}\left[\log\frac{\rho_{i}(\theta_{t})}{\pi_{i}(\theta_{t}|\Delta_{i,t})}\right]$$
$$= -\mathcal{L}[\rho_{i}(\theta_{t})] + logf(y_{i,t}|\Delta_{i,t-1}),$$

 \mathcal{U}_{t}

Variational inference

- Optimization of divegence is equivalent to maximalization of negative evidence lower bound (ELBO)
- Can be done by coordinate-ascent variational inference (CAVI)
 - Iterative optimization algorithm
- Need to have matching conjugate priors

Variable		Prior
x_t	~	$\mathcal{N}(\hat{x}_{i,t}^-, \hat{P}_{i,t}^{\star})$
P_t	\sim	$i\mathcal{W}(\bar{\Psi_{i,t}}, \psi_{i,t})$
R_t	\sim	$i\mathcal{W}(\Phi_{i,t}^-,\phi_{i,t}^-)$

٦

Optimazation of $\widehat{Q}_{i,t}$

- User provided set of $\hat{Q}_{i,t}$ matrices $Q_{i,t} = \{\hat{Q}_{i,t}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{Q}_{i,t}^{(C)}\}$
- Selection of the matrix that maximizes

$$\hat{Q}_{i,t} = rg \max_{ ilde{Q}_t \in \mathcal{Q}_{i,t}} \log \mathcal{N}\left(y_{i,t} | H_t \hat{x}_{i,t}, R(ilde{Q}_t)
ight)$$

• Based on the available measurements of $y_{i,t}$

Summary of the proposed solution

- Approximation of x_t , P_t and R_t using variational inference by means of message passing.
- Approximation of Q_t is done using a cheap hypotheses-testing procedure and an intrinsic optimization of a relevant prior distribution



Testing

- 2 dimensional target tracking
- Simulated using constant velocity model
- CAVI algorithm is always set to 4 itterations



Results (non distributed setting)







What about distributed setting?



Distributed setting intuition

- Combining information from more sources
 - Using information from multiple sources can prove usefull
 - Problem can be with source with high trust but incorrect information
 - A lot of different ways to communicate
- Many open topics
 - How to detect that both sources observe the same object?
 - How to handle various sources in different conditions?
 - What is the optimal way to weight informations provided by different sources?
 - What is the best way to intercorporate the indidual weeknesses of various sources?

Does such a situation even occur?



Communication approaches: Fusion Center

- All nodes send information to single processing node
- All computations done in one node only
- Risky, since processing node is single point of failure



Comunication approaches: Diffusion

- No specialized node for processing
- Nodes share information with their neighbours (usually in 1-step distance)
- Any node can fail and the network will still run
- Done in two steps:
 - Adaptation
 - Combination



Diffusion strategy

- Adaptation step
 - Interoperating observations from the neighbours into the nodes knowledge
- Combination step
 - Posterior information of the node is shared back to its neighbour nodes
- Two variants possible:
 - ATC Adapt Then Combine (used in this case)
 - CTA Combine Then Adapt

Adaptation step

- Using the available measurements of $y_{j,t}$ from neighbours node to accelerate convergence of the estimates of θ_t
- The measurement update step gets extended by the measurements from neighbours
- CAVI updates are replaced by expected sufficient statistics

$$\mathbb{E}_{\rho_{i}(R_{t},P_{t})}^{(d)} \left[\sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}_{i}} T_{x_{t}}(y_{j,t}) \right] = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} y_{j,t}\mathsf{T} \\ H_{t}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} y_{j,t}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ H_{t}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbb{E}_{\rho_{i}(x_{t},P_{t})}^{(d)} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}_{i}} T_{R_{t}}(y_{j,t}) \end{bmatrix} \\ = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} y_{j,t} - H_{t}\hat{x}_{i,t}^{+,(d-1)} \end{pmatrix} (\bullet)^{\mathsf{T}} + H_{t}\hat{P}_{i,t}^{+,(d-1)} H_{t}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Combination step

- Agent acquires posterior estimates for its neighbours
- In this case it is represented by variational factors
 - $\rho_j(x_t)$
 - $\rho_j(R_t)$
- Through out time by means of fusion the information is diffused between all interconnected nodes
- Different combination rules are possible with various properties

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{i}(x_{t}) \propto \exp\left\{\eta_{x_{t}}^{\intercal} \cdot \widetilde{\Xi}_{x_{t},i}^{+}\right\} = \exp\left\{\eta_{x_{t}}^{\intercal} \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathcal{I}_{i}|} \sum \Xi_{x_{t},j}^{+}\right\} \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\rho}_{i}(R_{t}) \propto \exp\left\{\eta_{R_{t}}^{\intercal} \cdot \widetilde{\Xi}_{R_{t},i}^{+}\right\} = \exp\left\{\eta_{R_{t}}^{\intercal} \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathcal{I}_{i}|} \sum \Xi_{R_{t},j}^{+}\right\}$$

Distributed optimization of $\widehat{Q}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{t}}$

- Advantage of having increased amount of measurents provided by its neighbourghs
- They are independent identically distributed (iid)
- Joint predictive density is just a product of individual densities

$$f\left(\{y_{j,t}\}_{j\in\mathcal{I}_i}|\Delta_{i,t-1},u_t\right) = \prod_{i\in\mathcal{I}_i} f(y_{j,t}|\Delta_{i,t-1},u_t)$$

Optimal solution is therefore

$$\begin{split} \hat{Q}_{i,t} &= \arg\max_{\tilde{Q}_t \in \mathcal{Q}_{i,t}} \log\prod_{j \in \mathcal{I}_i} \mathcal{N}\left(y_{j,t} | H_t \hat{x}_{i,t}, R(\tilde{Q}_t)\right) \\ &= \arg\max_{\tilde{Q}_t \in \mathcal{Q}_{i,t}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}_i} \log \mathcal{N}(y_{j,t} | H_t \hat{x}_{i,t}, R(\tilde{Q}_t)) \end{split}$$

Results (distributed setting)

- Simulated data 2 dimensional target tracking
- Generated using constant velocity model
- 15 agents with independent observations of the target
- Results of 300 independent runs
- Two experiments
 - Static R matrix
 - Time-varying R matrix



Results (static R matrix)





Results (time varying R matrix)





Further reading

- Y. Huang, Y. Zhang, Z. Wu, N. Li, and J. Chambers, "A Novel Adaptive Kalman Filter With Inaccurate Process and Measurement Noise Covariance Matrices," IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr., vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 594-601, Feb. 2018.
- K. Dedecius and O. Tich y, "Collaborative sequential state estimation under unknown heterogeneous noise covariance matrices," IEEE Trans.

Signal Process., vol. 68, pp. 5365–5378, 2020.

Thanks for your attention